arXiv:math/0503614v9 [math.CV] 5 Oct 2005

WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS FROM $F(p,q,s)$ TO BLOCH TYPE SPACES ON THE UNIT BALL

ZEHUA ZHOU* AND RENYU CHEN

ABSTRACT. Let $\phi(z)=(\phi_1(z),\cdots,\phi_n(z))$ be a holomorphic self-map of B and $\psi(z)$ a holomorphic function on B, where B is the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $0<p,s<+\infty, -n-1<q<+\infty, q+s>-1$ and $\alpha\geq 0$, this paper gives some necessary and sufficient conditions for the weighted composition operator $W_{\psi,\phi}$ induced by ϕ and ψ to be bounded or compact between the space $F(p,q,s)$ and α-*Bloch* space β^α.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let dv be the *Lebesegue* measure on the unit ball B of $\mathbb{C}^n$ normalized so that $v(B)=1$, and $d\sigma$ be the normalized rotation invariant measure on the boundary ∂B of B so that $\sigma(\partial B)=1$. $H(B)$ is the class of all holomorphic functions on B.

For $a\in B$, let $g(z,a)=\log|\varphi_a(z)|^{-1}$ be the *Green's* function on B with logarithmic singularity at a, where φ_a is the *Möbius* transformation of B with $\varphi_a(0)=a,\varphi_a(a)=0,\varphi_a=\varphi_a^{-1}$.

Let $0<p,s<+\infty, -n-1<q<+\infty$ and $q+s>-1$. We say $f\in F(p,q,s)$ provided that $f\in H(B)$ and

(1.1)

$$\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)}=|f(0)|+\{\sup_{a\in B}\int_B|\nabla f(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z)\}^{\frac{1}{p}}<+\infty$$

where

$$\nabla f(z)=(\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_1},\cdots,\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_n}).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47B38; Secondary: 26A16, 32A16, 32A26, 32A30, 32A37, 32A38, 32H02, 47B33.

Key words and phrases. Weighted composition operator, Bloch-type space, boundedness, compactness, holomorphic, several complex variables.

* Zehua Zhou, Corresponding author. Supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grand No.10371091), and LiuHui Center for Applied Mathematics, Nankai University & Tianjin University.

For the case $s = 0$, we say that f belongs to the space $F(p, q, 0)$, if

$$\|f\|_{F(p,q,0)} = |f(0)| + \left\{ \int_B |\nabla f(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q dv(z) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$

The corresponding "Little-oh" space $F_0(p, q, s)$ will be defined as follows: f belongs to $F_0(p, q, s)$, if

$$\lim_{|a| \to 1} \int_B |\nabla f(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q g^s(z, a) dv(z) = 0.$$

For convenience, we also define $F_0(p, q, 0) = F(p, q, 0)$.

For $\alpha \geq 0, f$ is said to be in the *Bloch* space β^α provided that $f \in H(B)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\beta^\alpha} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in B} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |\nabla f(z)| < +\infty. \tag{1.2}$$

As we all know, β^α is a *Banach* space when $\alpha \geq 1$. The spaces β^1 and $\beta^\alpha (0 < \alpha < 1)$ are just the *Bloch* space and the *Lipschitz* spaces $L_{1-\alpha}$ respectively. From [YaOuy] we know that a holomorphic function $f \in \beta^\alpha$ if and only if $\sup_{z \in B} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |Rf(z)| < +\infty$, where

$$Rf(z) := < \nabla f(z), \bar{z} > = \Sigma_{j=1}^n z_j \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_j}. \tag{1.3}$$

Furthermore, by the Norm Equivalent Theorem we have

$$\|f\|_{\beta^\alpha} \approx |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in B} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |Rf(z)|, \tag{1.4}$$

where $M \approx N$ means the two quantities M and N are comparable, that is there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that $C_1 M \leq N \leq C_2 M$.

If $p \geq 1$, $F(p, q, s)$ is a *Banach* space and it can be many function spaces if we take some specific parameters of p, q, and s. For example, $F(p, q, s) = \beta^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}$ when $s > n$; $F(2, 0, s) = Q_s, F(2, 0, 1) = BMOA$. It also includes Bergman spaces $L_a^p = F(p, p, 0)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, Besove space $B_p = F(p, p - n - 1, 0)$ for $n < p < +\infty$, Dirichlet space $D_q = F(2, q, 0)$ for $-1 < q < \infty$, Hardy space $H^2 = F(2, 1, 0)$. For the definition of the spaces described above, we refer the reader to see [Zhu2, Zhao].

Let $\phi(z) = (\phi_1(z), \cdots, \phi_n(z))$ be a holomorphic self-map of B and $\psi(z)$ a holomorphic function on B, the composition operator C_ϕ induced by ϕ is defined by

$$(C_\phi f)(z) = f(\phi(z));$$

the multiplication operator induced by ψ if defined by

$$M_\psi f(z) = \psi(z)f(z);$$

and the weighted composition operator $W_{\psi,\phi}$ induced by ϕ and ψ is defined by

$$(W_{\psi,\phi}f)(z) = \psi(z)f(\phi(z))$$

for $z \in B$ and $f \in H(B)$. If let $\psi \equiv 1$, then $W_{\psi,\phi} = C_\phi$; if let $\phi = id$, then $W_{\psi,\phi} = M_\psi$. So we can regard weighted composition operator as a generalization of a multiplication operator and a composition operator. These operators are linear.

Despite the simplicity of the definition of $W_{\phi,\psi}$ or C_ϕ, it is not uncommon for solutions of problems involving this type of operator to require profound and interesting analytical machinery; moreover, the study of composition operators has arguably become a major driving force in the development of modern complex analysis. The lucent texts [CoMac], [Sha3], [Zhu1, Zhu2], and conference proceedings collection [CoJaMacP] are good sources for information about many of the developments in the theory of composition operators up to the middle of the last decade.

In the recent years, there have been many papers focused on studying the composition operator in function spaces (say, for 1-dimensional case, cf. [CoMac] [Mad] [MadMat] [CoHer] [Alf]), more recently, [CSZ], [ShiLuo],[ZhShi1], [ZhShi2], [Zho] discuss the composition operator in the Bloch space on the unit polydisk. From those, they get some sufficient and necessary conditions for composition operator to be bounded or compact.

In this paper, our goal is to provide a theoretical characterization of when ϕ and ψ induce a bounded or compact weighted composition operators from $F(p,q,s)$ to *α-Bloch* space β^α. Of course, if let $\psi = 1$, it contains the results about composition operator; if let $\phi = id$, it also contains the results about multiplication operator. As some corollaries, we also give some results between Bloch type space in the unit ball. These results generalize previously known one-variable results obtained by K. Madigan/A. Matheson [MadMat] and K. Madigan [Mad], respectively.

As far as the boundedness of $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is concerned, we can have the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *For* $0 < p, s < +\infty, -n-1 < q < +\infty, q+s > -1, \alpha \geq 0$, *$\phi$ be a holomorphic self-map of B, and ψ a holomorphic function on B. Then the weighted composition operator $W_{\psi,\phi} : F(p,q,s) \to \beta^\alpha$ is* *bounded if and only if*

$$\sup_{\substack{u\in C^n-\{0\}\\ \omega\in B_n}} |\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\sup_{\omega\in B} G_{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}(\phi(\omega))|\nabla\psi(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha < +\infty, \tag{1.6}$$

where J_ϕ is the Jabocian of ϕ, and

$$G_{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0<\frac{n+1+q}{p}<1,\\ \log\frac{2}{1-|\omega|^2}, & \frac{n+1+q}{p}=1,\\ \left(\frac{1}{1-|\omega|^2}\right)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}-1}, & \frac{n+1+q}{p}>1. \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

On the other hand, the compactness of $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is much more complicated than the case of boundedness. The result varies sharply by the choosen of the real numbers p and q.

Theorem 1.2. *For $0<p,s<+\infty, -n-1<q<+\infty, q+s>-1$, and $\alpha\geq 0$, ϕ be a holomorphic self-map of B, ψ a holomorphic function on B. If $\frac{q+n+1}{p}\in(0,1)$, then $W_{\psi,\phi}: F(p,q,s)\to\beta^\alpha$ is compact if and only if $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is bounded and*

$$\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} |\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 0 \tag{1.8}$$

when $\phi(\omega)\to\partial B$.

Theorem 1.3. *If $\frac{q+n+1}{p}\geq 1$, then $W_{\psi,\phi}: F(p,q,s)\to\beta^\alpha$ is compact if and only if $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is bounded and*

$$\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} |\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 0 \tag{1.9}$$

$$G_{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}(\phi(\omega))|\nabla\psi(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha \to 0 \tag{1.10}$$

when $\phi(\omega)\to\partial B$.

Latter, in Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.5, and Lemma 2.7, we will show that $F(p,q,s)$ is just $\beta^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}$ when $s>n$, therefore by the theorems above, we get

Corollary 1.4. *Let* $\phi = (\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n)$ *be a holomorphic self-map of* B *and* ψ *a holomorphic function of* $B, p > 0, q > 0$, *then* $W_{\psi,\phi} : \beta^p \to \beta^q$ *is bounded if and only if*

$$\sup_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}\\ \omega\in B_n}} |\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^q}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^p}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$\sup_{\omega\in B} G_p(\phi(\omega))|\nabla\psi(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^q < +\infty. \tag{1.12}$$

Corollary 1.5. *Let* $\phi = (\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n)$ *be a holomorphic self-map of* B *and* $\psi(z)$ *a holomorphic function of* $B, 0 < p < 1, q > 0$, *then* $W_{\psi,\phi} : \beta^p \to \beta^q$ *is compact if and only if* $W_{\psi,\phi}$ *is bounded and*

$$\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} |\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^q}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^p}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 0 \tag{1.13}$$

when $\phi(\omega) \to \partial B$.

Corollary 1.6. *Let* $\phi = (\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n)$ *be a holomorphic self-map of* B *and* $\psi(z)$ *a holomorphic function of* $B, p \geq 1, q > 0$, *then* $W_{\psi,\phi} : \beta^p \longrightarrow \beta^q$ *is compact if and only if* $W_{\psi,\phi}$ *is bounded and*

$$\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} |\psi(z)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^q}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^p}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 0 \tag{1.14}$$

$$G_p(\phi(\omega))|\nabla\psi(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^q \to 0 \tag{1.15}$$

when $\phi(\omega) \to \partial B$.

The organization of this paper is as follows: We give some Lemmas in Section 2, and prove Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 of this paper in Section 3, 4 and 5, respectively.

2. Some Lemmas

In the following, we will use the symbol c or C to denote a finite positive number which does not depend on variables z, a, ω and may depend on some norms and parameters p, q, n, α, x, f etc, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

In order to prove the main result, we will give some Lemmas first.

Lemma 2.1. *If* $0 < p, s < +\infty, -n-1 < q < +\infty, q+s > -1$*, then* $F(p,q,s) \subset \beta^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}$ *and* $\exists c > 0$ *s.t. for* $\forall f \in F(p,q,s)$, $\|f\|_{\beta^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}} \le c\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)}$.

Proof. This lemma has been given by [Zha], but for the convenience of the reader, we will still give the proof here.

Suppose $f \in F(p,q,s)$. Fixed $0 < r_0 < 1$, since $(Rf)\circ\varphi_a \in H(B)$, so $|(Rf)\circ\varphi_a|^p$ is subharmonic in B. That is

$$\begin{aligned} |Rf(a)|^p = \ & |(Rf)\circ\varphi_a(0)|^p \le \tfrac{1}{r_0^{2n}}\textstyle\int_{r_0B}|(Rf)\circ\varphi_a(\omega)|^p dv(\omega) \\ & = \tfrac{1}{r_0^{2n}}\textstyle\int_{r_0B}|(Rf)|^p\tfrac{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1}}{|1-<z,a>|^{(2n+2)}}dv(z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

From (5) in [ZhuOuy], we have

$$\frac{1-r_0}{1+r_0}(1-|a|^2) \le (1-|z|^2) \le \frac{1+r_0}{1-r_0}(1-|z|^2)$$

as $z \in \varphi_a(r_0B)$. Thus

$$\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1}}{|1-<z,a>|^{2n+2}(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)} \le \frac{4^{n+1}}{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1+q}}(\frac{1+r_0}{1-r_0})^{|q|}\log^{-s}\frac{1}{r_0}. \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |Rf(a)|^p \le \tfrac{1}{r_0^{2n}}\textstyle\int_{\varphi_a(r_0B)}|Rf(z)|^p\tfrac{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1}}{|1-<z,a>|^{2n+2}}dv(z) \\ & = \tfrac{1}{r_0^{2n}}\textstyle\int_{\varphi_a(r_0B)}|Rf(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)\tfrac{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1}}{|1-<z,a>|^{2n+2}(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)}dv(z) \\ & \le \tfrac{4^{n+1}r_0^{-2n}}{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1+q}}(\tfrac{1+r_0}{1-r_0})^{|q|}\log^{-s}\tfrac{1}{r_0}\|f\|^p_{F(p,q,s)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f \in \beta^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}$ and $\|f\|_{\beta^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}} \le c\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)}$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $p > 0$*, then there is a constant* $c > 0$*, for* $\forall f \in \beta^p$ *and* $\forall z \in B$*, the estimate*

$$|f(z)| \le cG_p(z)\|f\|_{\beta^p}, \tag{2.3}$$

holds, where the function G_α *has been defined at (1.7).*

Proof. For $\forall f \in \beta^p(B_n)$, since $||f||_{\beta^p} = |f(0)| + \sup\limits_{z\in B_n}(1-|z|^2)^p|\nabla f(z)|$, we have

$$|f(0)| \le ||f||_{\beta^p}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla f(z)| \le \frac{||f||_{\beta^p}}{(1-|z|^2)^p}.$$

but

$$f(z) = f(0) + \int_0^1 < z, \overline{\nabla f(tz)} > dt.$$

therefore

$$|f(z)| \leq |f(0)| + \int_0^1 |z|\, |\nabla f(tz)| dt$$

$$\leq \ ||f||_{\beta^p} + ||f||_{\beta^p} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-|tz|^2)^p} dt \leq ||f||_{\beta^p} \Big(1 + \int_0^{|z|} \frac{dt}{(1-t^2)^p} \Big).$$

when $p = 1$, $\displaystyle\int_0^{|z|} \frac{dt}{1-t^2} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{4}{1-|z|^2}$, therefore

$$|f(z)| \leq \Big(1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{4}{1-|z|^2} \Big) ||f||_{\beta^p}.$$

If $p \neq 1$, then

$$\int_0^{|z|} \frac{dt}{(1-t^2)^p} = \int_0^{|z|} \frac{dt}{(1-t)^p(1+t)^p} \leq \int_0^{|z|} \frac{dt}{(1-t)^p} = \frac{1-(1-|z|)^{1-p}}{1-p},$$

therefore when $0 < p < 1$, notice that $\displaystyle\int_0^{|z|} \frac{dt}{(1-t^2)^p} \leq \frac{1}{1-p}$ we get

$$|f(z)| \leq \Big(1 + \frac{1}{1-p} \Big) ||f||_{\beta^p}.$$

and when $p > 1$

$$\int_0^{|z|} \frac{dt}{(1-t^2)^p} \leq \frac{1-(1-|z|)^{1-p}}{1-p}$$

$$= \frac{1-(1-|z|)^{p-1}}{(p-1)(1-|z|)^{p-1}} \leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{(p-1)(1-|z|^2)^{p-1}}$$

so

$$|f(z)| \leq \Big(1 + \frac{2^{p-1}}{(p-1)(1-|z|^2)^{p-1}} \Big) ||f||_{\beta^p}.$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Let ϕ be a holomorphic self-map of B and ψ a holomorphic function on B, K is an arbitrary point set. Then $W_{\psi,\phi} : F(p,q,s) \to \beta^\alpha$ is compact if and only if for any uniformly bounded sequence $\{f_{j,u}\}(j \in \mathbb{N}, u \in K)$ in $F(p,q,s)$ which converges to zero uniformly for $u \in K$ and z on any compact subsets of B when $j \to \infty$, $\|W_{\psi,\phi} f_{j,u}\|_\alpha \to 0$ holds.*

Proof. Suppose that $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is compact and $\{f_{j,u}\}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence in $F(p,q,s)$ which converges to zero uniformly for $u \in K$ and z on compact subsets of B when $j \to \infty$. Then $\{W_{\psi,\phi}(f_{j,u})\}$ has a subsequence $\{W_{\psi,\phi}(f_{j_m,u_k})\}$ converges to $g \in \beta^\alpha$. And by lemma 2.2, $\forall$

compact subset $M \subset B$, there is a positive constant C_M independent of $f_{j,u}$ such that

$$|\psi(z)f_{j_m,u_k}(\phi(z)) - g(z)| \leq C_M \|\psi f_{j_m,u_k} \circ \phi - g\|_\alpha,$$

for all $z \in M$. Therefore $\{\psi(z)f_{j_m,u_k}(\phi(z)) - g(z)\}$ converges to 0 uniformly on M. Notice that there is a constant $c > 0$, such that $|\psi(z)| < c, \forall z \in M$, and that $\phi(M)$ is compact in B, we have

$$|\psi(z)f_{j_m,u_k}(\phi(z))| \leq c|f_{j_m,u_k}(\phi(z))| \to 0,$$

uniformly on M. And by the arbitrariness of M, we have $g \equiv 0$ on B. Since it is true for arbitrary subsequence of $\{f_{j,u}\}$, we see that $W_{\psi,\phi}f_{j,u} \to 0$ in β^α, when $j \to +\infty$.

On the other hand, fixed a point b in K. Suppose $\{g_{j,b}\} \subset K_r = B_{F(p,q,s)}(0,r)$, where $B_{F(p,q,s)}(0,r)$ is a ball in $F(p,q,s)$, then by lemma 2.2, $\{g_{j,b}\}$ is uniformly bounded in arbitrary compact subset M of B. By Montel's Lemma, $\{g_{j,b}\}$ is regular, therefore there is a subsequence $\{g_{j_m,b}\}$ which converges uniformly to $g_b \in H(B)$ on compact subsets of B. It follows that $\nabla g_{j_m,b} \to \nabla g_b$ uniformly on compact subsets of B.

Denote $B_k = B(0, 1 - \frac{1}{k}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\textstyle\int_B |\nabla g_b|^p (1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z)\\ &= \lim_{k\to+\infty} \textstyle\int_{B_k} \lim_{m\to+\infty} |\nabla g_{j_m,b}|^p (1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z)\\ &= \lim_{k\to+\infty} \lim_{m\to+\infty} \textstyle\int_{B_k} |\nabla g_{j_m,b}|^p (1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z).\end{aligned}$$

But $\{g_{j_m,b}\} \subset B_{F(p,q,s)}(0,r)$, then

$$\int_{B_k} |\nabla g_{j_m,b}|^p (1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z) < r^p,$$

therefore

$$\int_B |\nabla g_b|^p (1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z) \leq r^p.$$

So $\|g_b\|_{F(p,q,s)} \leq r$, and $g_b \in F(p,q,s)$. Hence the sequence $\{g_{j_m,b} - g_b\}$ is such that $\|g_{j_m,b} - g_b\| \leq 2r < \infty$ and converges to 0 on compact subsets of B, by the hypothesis of this lemma, we have that

$$\psi g_{j_m,b} \circ \phi \to \psi g_b \circ \phi$$

in β^α. Thus the set $W_{\psi,\phi}(K_r)$ is relatively compact, finishing the proof. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $0 < p < \infty, f \in H(B_n)$, *then* $f \in \beta^p(B_n)$ *if and only if*

$$\sup_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}\\ z\in B_n}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^p |\nabla f(z)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|z|^2)|u|^2 + |<z,u>|^2}} < +\infty.$$

Furthermore

$$||f||_{\beta^p} \approx |f(0)| + \sup_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}\\ z\in B_n}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^p|\nabla f(z)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|z|^2)|u|^2+|<z,u>|^2}}.$$

Proof. By Bergman metric in [Tim1], there are constants $A_1 > 0$ and $A_2 > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} \frac{A_1|\nabla f(z)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|z|^2)|u|^2+|<z,u>|^2}} \le |\nabla f(z)|\\ &\le \sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} \frac{A_2|\nabla f(z)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|z|^2)|u|^2+|<z,u>|^2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $C_1 = \min\{A_1, 1\}$, $C_2 = \min\{A_2, 1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&C_1\{|f(0)| + \sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^p|\nabla f(z)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|z|^2)|u|^2+|<z,u>|^2}}\} \le \|f\|_{\beta^p}\\ &C_2\{|f(0)| + \sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^p|\nabla f(z)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|z|^2)|u|^2+|<z,u>|^2}}\}.\end{aligned}$$

This proof is completed. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *For* $0 < p, s < +\infty, -n-1 < q < +\infty, q+s > -1$, *there exists* $c > 0$ *such that*

$$\sup_{a\in B} \int_B \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^p}{|1-<z,w>|^{n+1+q+p}}(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z) \le c, \tag{2.4}$$

for every $\omega \in B$.

Proof. It's easy to verify that if $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$, then $-\log(1-x) < 4x$. If we let $x = 1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2$, then

$$-\log(1-(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)) < 4(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2), \tag{2.5}$$

or

$$g(z,a) < 2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)$$

when $|\varphi_a(z)|^2 > \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2 = \frac{(1-|a|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{|1-<z,a>|^2}$, therefore when $s > n$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\frac{1}{2}<|\varphi_a(z)|^2<1} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^p}{|1-<z,w>|^{n+1+q+p}}(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z)\\ &\le \int_{\frac{1}{2}<|\varphi_a(z)|^2<1} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^p(1-|z|^2)^q 2^s(1-|a|^2)^s(1-|z|^2)^s}{|1-<z,w>|^{n+1+q+p}|1-<z,a>|^{2s}}dv(z)\\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}<|\varphi_a(z)|^2<1} \frac{2^s(1-|\omega|^2)^p(1-|a|^2)^s(1-|z|^2)^{q+s}}{|1-<z,w>|^{n+1+q+p}|1-<z,a>|^{2s}}dv(z)\\ &\le \int_B c\frac{(1-|a|^2)^s(1-|z|^2)^{s-n-1}}{|1-<z,a>|^{2s}}dv(z) < c,\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where the last two inequalities follow by theorem 1.4.10 in [Rud] and the fact that $|1-<z,w>| \ge 1-|z|$.

And if $s \leq n$, we choose constants x, x', λ satisfying

$$max\{1, \frac{n}{q+n+1}\} < x < \frac{n}{n-s}$$

(when s=n, just consider x such that $x > max\{1, \frac{n}{q+n+1}\}$), and let

$$\lambda = q+n+1-\frac{n+1}{x}, \frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{x'} = 1,$$

then $\lambda x > -1$ and $(q+s-\lambda)x' > -1$. Thus by Hölder inequality (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_{\frac{1}{2}<|\varphi_a(z)|^2<1} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^p}{|1-<z,w>|^{n+1+q+p}}(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z)\\
&\leq \textstyle\int_{\frac{1}{2}<|\varphi_a(z)|^2<1} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^p(1-|z|^2)^q 2^s(1-|a|^2)^s(1-|z|^2)^s}{|1-<z,w>|^{n+1+q+p}|1-<z,a>|^{2s}}dv(z)\\
&\leq 2^s \textstyle\int_B \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^p(1-|z|^2)^\lambda}{|1-<z,w>|^{n+1+q+p}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{q+s-\lambda}(1-|a|^2)^s}{|1-<z,a>|^{2s}}dv(z)\\
&\leq c\{\textstyle\int_B \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^{px}(1-|z|^2)^{\lambda x}}{|1-<z,w>|^{(n+1+q+p)x}}dv(z)\}^{\frac{1}{x}}\{\int_B \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{(q+s-\lambda)x'}(1-|a|^2)^{sx'}}{|1-<z,a>|^{2sx'}}dv(z)\}^{\frac{1}{x'}}\\
&\leq c.
\end{aligned}$$

At the same time

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_{|\varphi_a(z)|^2\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^p}{|1-<z,w>|^{n+1+q+p}}(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z)\\
&= \textstyle\int_{|u|\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^p(1-|\varphi_a(u)|^2)^q(1-|a|^2)^{n+1}}{|1-<\varphi_a(u),\omega>|^{n+1+q+p}|1-<u,a>|^{2n+2}} \log^s \frac{1}{|u|}dv(u)\\
&\leq c\textstyle\int_{|u|\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1}}{(1-|\varphi_a(u)|^2)^{n+1}|1-<u,a>|^{2n+2}} \log^s \frac{1}{|u|}dv(u)\\
&= c\textstyle\int_{|u|\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|1-<u,a>|^{n+1}} \log^s \frac{1}{|u|}dv(u)\\
&\leq c\textstyle\int_{|u|\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(1-|u|^2)^{n+1}} \log^s \frac{1}{|u|}dv(u)\\
&\leq c\textstyle\int_B \log^s \frac{1}{|u|}dv(u) < c.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Combine (2.6),(2.7),and (2.8), we have

$$\sup_{a\in B}\int_B \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^p}{|1-<z,w>|^{n+1+q+p}}(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z) \leq c.$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *There is a constant* $C > 0$ *such that for* $\forall t > -1$ *and* $z \in B$

$$\textstyle\int_B |\log \frac{1}{1-<z,w>}|^2 \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^t}{|1-<z,w>|^{n+1+t}}dv(w) \leq C\big(\log \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}\big)^2. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. Denote the right term as I_t and let $2\lambda = t+n+1$. By Taylor expansion

$$|\log \frac{1}{1-<z,w>}|^2 = \sum_{u,v=1}^{+\infty} \frac{<z,w>^u <w,z>^v}{uv}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|1-<z,w>|^{2\lambda}}=\sum_{k,l=0}^{+\infty}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)\Gamma(\lambda+l)}{k!l!\Gamma(\lambda)^2}<z,w>^k<w,z>^l,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_t &= \int_B \sum_{u,v=1}^{+\infty}\sum_{k,l=0}^{+\infty}\tfrac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)\Gamma(\lambda+l)}{uvk!l!\Gamma(\lambda)^2}<z,w>^{k+u}<w,z>^{l+v}\,(1-|\omega|^2)^t dv(w)\\ &= \sum_{u=1}^{+\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{u+k-1}\tfrac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)\Gamma(\lambda+l)}{u(u+k-l)k!l!\Gamma(\lambda)^2}\int_B|<z,w>|^{2(u+k)}(1-|\omega|^2)^t dv(w)\end{aligned}$$

without lost of generality, let $z=|z|e_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B\ & |<z,w>|^{2(u+k)}(1-|\omega|^2)^t dv(w)\\ &= \int_B(|z|w_1)^{2(u+k)}(1-|\omega|^2)^t dv(w)\\ &= 2n\int_0^1\int_{\partial B}\rho^{2n-1}|z|^{2(u+k)}|\rho\xi_1|^{2(u+k)}(1-\rho^2)^t d\rho d\delta_n(\xi)\\ &= 2n|z|^{2(u+k)}\int_0^1\rho^{2(u+k+n-1)+1}(1-\rho^2)^t d\rho\int_{\partial B}|\xi_1|^{2(u+k)}d\delta(\xi)\\ &= n|z|^{2(u+k)}\tfrac{\Gamma(u+k+n)\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(u+k+n+t+1)}\tfrac{(n-1)!(u+k)!}{(u+k+n-1)!}\\ &= \tfrac{\Gamma(t+1)\Gamma(u+k+1)n!}{\Gamma(2\lambda+u+k)}|z|^{2(u+k)},\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} I_t &= \sum_{u=1}^{+\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{u+k-1}\tfrac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)\Gamma(\lambda+l)}{u(u+k-l)k!l!\Gamma(\lambda)^2}\tfrac{\Gamma(t+1)\Gamma(u+k+1)n!}{\Gamma(2\lambda+u+k)}|z|^{2(u+k)}\\ &= \sum_{u=1}^{+\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\tfrac{n!\Gamma(t+1)\Gamma(\lambda+k)\Gamma(u+k+1)}{uk!\Gamma(\lambda)^2\Gamma(2\lambda+u+k)}\sum_{l=0}^{u+k-1}\tfrac{\Gamma(\lambda+l)}{(u+k-l)l!}|z|^{2(u+k)}\\ &= \sum_{u=1}^{+\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\tfrac{n!\Gamma(t+1)\Gamma(\lambda+k)\Gamma(u+k+1)}{uk!\Gamma(\lambda)^2\Gamma(2\lambda+u+k)}\sum_{l=0}^{u+k-1}\tfrac{\Gamma(\lambda+l)}{(u+k-l)l!}|z|^{2(u+k)}\\ &\quad+\sum_{u=1}^{+\infty}\tfrac{n!\Gamma(t+1)\Gamma(u+1)}{u\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(2\lambda+u)}\sum_{l=0}^{u-1}\tfrac{\Gamma(\lambda+l)}{(u-l)l!}|z|^{2u}\\ &= I_1+I_2,\end{aligned}$$

by Stirling formula, there is an absolute constant C_1 s.t.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+l)}{l!}\le C_1 l^{\lambda-1},\frac{\Gamma(u+k+1)}{\Gamma(2\lambda+u+k)}\le C_1(u+k)^{1-2\lambda},$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(u+k+1)}{\Gamma(2\lambda+u)}\le C_1 u^{1-2\lambda},\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)}{k!}\le C_1 k^{\lambda-1}$$

for all $l,u,k\ge 1$, then

$$I_1\le C_1^3\sum_{u=1}^{+\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\frac{n!\Gamma(t+1)k^{\lambda-1}(u+k)^{1-2\lambda}}{u\Gamma(\lambda)^2}\sum_{l=1}^{u+k-1}\frac{l^{\lambda-1}}{(u+k-l)}|z|^{2(u+k)}$$

and

$$I_2 \leq C_1^2 \sum_{u=1}^{+\infty} \frac{n!\Gamma(t+1)u^{1-2\lambda}}{u\Gamma(\lambda)} \sum_{l=1}^{u-1} \frac{l^{\lambda-1}}{(u-l)} |z|^{2u}.$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{M-1} \frac{l^{(\lambda-1)}}{M-l} \approx M^{\lambda-2} \log M$$

for any $M \geq 2$, then there is constant C, s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \leq \ & C \sum_{u=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \tfrac{n!\Gamma(t+1)k^{\lambda-1}(u+k)^{1-2\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda)^2 u} (u+k)^{\lambda-2} \log(u+k)|z|^{2(u+k)} \\ & = C \sum_{u=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \tfrac{n!\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda)^2} \tfrac{k^\lambda}{(u+k)^\lambda} \tfrac{\log(u+k)}{u+k} \tfrac{1}{uk} |z|^{2(u+k)} \\ & \leq C \sum_{u=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \tfrac{1}{uk} |z|^{2(u+k)} = C\big(\log \tfrac{1}{1-|z|^2}\big)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \leq \ & C \sum_{u=1}^{+\infty} \tfrac{n!\Gamma(t+1)u^{1-2\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda)u} u^{\lambda-2} \log u |z|^{2u} \\ & = C \sum_{u=1}^{+\infty} \tfrac{n!\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \tfrac{1}{u^{\lambda+1}} \tfrac{\log u}{u} |z|^{2u}, \end{aligned}$$

then it is clearly that I_2 can be control by $\big(\log \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}\big)^2$. Therefore we are done. ☐

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose* $0 < p, s < +\infty$ *and* $s + p > n$*,then*

i) If $s > n$*, then there is a constant* $C > 0$*, for* $\forall z \in B$

$$\sup_{a\in B} \int_B \big(\log \tfrac{1}{1-|z^2|}\big)^{-p} \big|\log \tfrac{1}{1-<z,w>}\big|^p \tfrac{(1-|z|^2)^{p-n-1}}{|1-<z,w>|^p} g^s(z,a)dv(z) < C;$$

ii) If $s \leq n$*, then if choose* x *satisfies* $\max\big\{1, \frac{n}{p}\big\} < x < \frac{n}{n-s}$ *(if* $n = s$*, just let* $x > \max\big\{1, \frac{n}{p}\big\}$*), then*

$$\sup_{a\in B} \int_B \big(\log \tfrac{1}{1-|z^2|}\big)^{-\frac{2}{x}} \big|\log \tfrac{1}{1-<z,w>}\big|^{\frac{2}{x}} \tfrac{(1-|z|^2)^{p-n-1}}{(|1-<z,w>|)^p} g^s(z,a)dv(z) < C.$$

Proof. i) Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \big(\log \tfrac{1}{1-|z^2|}\big)^{-1} \big|\log \tfrac{1}{1-<z,w>}\big| \\ & = \big(\log \tfrac{1}{1-|z^2|}\big)^{-1} \big|\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \tfrac{<z,w>^k}{k}\big| \\ & \leq \big(\log \tfrac{1}{1-|z^2|}\big)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \tfrac{|z|^k}{k} \\ & = \big(\log \tfrac{1}{1-|z^2|}\big)^{-1} \log \tfrac{1}{1-|z|} < 1, \end{aligned}$$

and when $\frac{1}{2} < |\varphi_a(z)|^2 < 1$

$$\frac{(1-|z|^2)^{p-n-1}g^s(z,a)}{|1-<z,w>|^p} \leq \frac{2^s(1-|z|^2)^{p+s-n-1}(1-|a|^2)^s}{(1-|z|^2)^p|1-<z,a>|^{2s}} \leq \frac{2^{p+s}(1-|z|^2)^{s-n-1}(1-|a|^2)^s}{|1-<z,a>|^{2s}}$$

and then by(2.6)(2.8), we see that i) holds.

ii) Let $\lambda = p - \frac{n+1}{x}$ and $x' = \frac{x}{1-x}$, then $\lambda x > -1$ and $(p+s-n-1-\lambda)x' > -1$. Thus by (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\frac{1}{2}<|\varphi_a(z)|^2<1} \Big(\log\frac{1}{1-|z^2|}\Big)^{-\frac{2}{x}}\Big|\log\frac{1}{1-<z,w>}\Big|^{\frac{2}{x}}\frac{1}{|1-<z,w>|^p}(1-|z|^2)^{p-n-1}\times\\
&\times g^s(z,a)dv(z)\\
&\leq \int_{\frac{1}{2}<|\varphi_a(z)|^2<1} \Big(\log\frac{1}{1-|z^2|}\Big)^{-\frac{2}{x}}\Big|\log\frac{1}{1-<z,w>}\Big|^{\frac{2}{x}}\frac{2^s(1-|z|^2)^{p+s-n-1}(1-|a|^2)^s}{|1-<z,w>|^p|1-<z,a>|^{2s}}dv(z)\\
&\leq 2^s\Big\{\int_B \Big(\log\frac{1}{1-|z^2|}\Big)^{-2}\Big|\log\frac{1}{1-<z,w>}\Big|^2\frac{(1-|z|^2)^{\lambda x}}{|1-<z,w>|^{px}}dv(z)\Big\}^{\frac{1}{x}}\times\\
&\times\Big\{\int_B \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{(s+p-n-1-\lambda)x'}(1-|a|^2)^{sx'}}{|1-<z,a>|^{2sx'}}dv(z)\Big\}^{\frac{1}{x'}}\\
&\leq C,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequity holds by lemma 2.6 and theorem 1.4.10 in [Rud].

At the same time, notice that $x > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|\varphi_a(z)|^2\leq\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\log\frac{1}{1-|z^2|}\Big)^{-\frac{2}{x}}\Big|\log\frac{1}{1-<z,w>}\Big|^{\frac{2}{x}}\frac{(1-|z|^2)^{p-n-1}}{|1-<z,w>|^p}g^s(z,a)dv(z)\\
&\leq \int_{|\varphi_a(z)|^2\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{p-n-1}}{|1-<z,w>|^p}g^s(z,a)dv(z)\\
&= \int_{|u|^2\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1-|\varphi_a(u)|^2)^{p-n-1}}{|1-<\varphi_a(u),w>|^p}\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1}}{|1-<u,a>|^{2n+2}}\log^s\frac{1}{u}dv(u)\\
&\leq c\int_{|u|^2\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(1-|\varphi_a(u)|^2)^{n+1}}\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1}}{|1-<u,a>|^{2n+2}}\log^s\frac{1}{u}dv(u)\\
&= c\int_{|u|^2\leq\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(1-|u|^2)^{n+1}}\log^s\frac{1}{u}dv(u)\\
&\leq c\int_B \log^s\frac{1}{u}dv(u) \leq c.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: we can also prove that when $s > n$, then $\beta^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}} \subset F(p,q,s)$, if we use the same method used in Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7. Therefore, combine lemma 2.1, we conclude that $\beta^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}} = F(p,q,s)$.

Lemma 2.8. *If $W_{\psi,\phi} : F(p,q,s) \to \beta^\alpha$ is bounded, then for every $\omega \in B$ satisfies $|\phi(\omega)| > \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ and every $u \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$, there is a function $f_{\omega,u} \in F(p,q,s)$ such that*

i) $\exists c > 0$, independent of ω and u, s.t. $\|f_{\omega,u}\|_{F(p,q,s)} < c$;

ii) $\{f_{\omega,u}\}$ converges to zero uniformly for $u \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ and z on compact subsets of B, when $\phi(\omega) \to \partial B$;

iii) There is a constant $c > 0$, for $\forall\omega$ and u

(2.10)

$$\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_{\omega,u}\|_{\beta^\alpha} \geq c|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\Big\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\Big\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds.

Proof. First we suppose $\phi(\omega) = r_\omega e_1$, where $r_\omega = |\phi(\omega)|$, e_1 is the vector
$(1, 0, 0, \cdots, 0)$.

If $|\phi(\omega)| > \sqrt{2/3}$ and $\sqrt{1 - |\phi(\omega)|^2}|J_\phi(\omega)u| \le | < \phi(\omega), J_\phi(\omega)u > |$. Take

$$f_{\omega,u}(z) = \frac{(z_1 - r_\omega)(1 - r_\omega^2)}{(1 - r_\omega z_1)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial f_{\omega,u}(z)}{\partial z_1} = \frac{1 - r_\omega^2}{(1 - r_\omega z_1)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}}\Big(1 + \frac{n+1+q}{p}\frac{(z_1 - r_\omega)r_\omega}{1 - r_\omega z_1}\Big)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f_{\omega,u}(z)}{\partial z_k} = 0, \quad k = 2, \cdots, n.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla f_{\omega,u}(z)| &= \tfrac{1-r_\omega^2}{|1-r_\omega z_1|^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}}\Big|1 + \tfrac{n+1+q}{p}\tfrac{(z_1-r_\omega)r_\omega}{1-r_\omega z_1}\Big| \\ &\le (1 + \tfrac{n+1+q}{p})\tfrac{1-r_\omega^2}{|1-r_\omega z_1|^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

By Lemma 2.5, $f_{\omega,u} \in F(p, q, s)$, and exists $c > 0$ independent of ω and u, s.t. $\|f_{\omega,u}\| \le c$. or $i)$ holds.

On the other hand, by (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_{\omega,u}\|_{\beta^\alpha} \ge (1 - |\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla(W_{\psi,\phi}f_{\omega,u})(\omega)| \\ &= (1 - |\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)\nabla(f_{\omega,u} \circ \phi)(\omega)|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

notice that

$$< \phi(\omega), J_\phi(\omega)u >= r_\omega e_1 J_\phi(\omega)u,$$

and use lemma 2.4, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_{\omega,u}\|_{\beta^\alpha} \ge c(1 - |\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)|\Big|\tfrac{\nabla f_{\omega,u}(\phi(\omega))J_\phi(\omega)u}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\Big| \\ &= c(1 - |\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)|\tfrac{1-r_\omega^2}{(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}}\Big|\tfrac{e_1 J_\phi(\omega)u}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\Big| \\ &= c|\psi(\omega)|\tfrac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha}{r_\omega(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}}\Big|\tfrac{<\phi(\omega),J_\phi(\omega)u>}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\Big|, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, by our assumption, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_{\omega,u}\|_{\beta^\alpha} \ge c|\psi(\omega)|\tfrac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha}{(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}}\tfrac{\sqrt{|<\phi(\omega),J_\phi(\omega)u>|^2+|<\phi(\omega),J_\phi(\omega)u>|}}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}} \\ &= c|\psi(\omega)|\tfrac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\Big\{\tfrac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\Big\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sqrt{1-|\phi(\omega)|^2}|J_\phi(\omega)u| \le |<\phi(\omega), J_\phi(\omega)u>|$. Write $J_\phi(\omega)u = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n)^T$. For $j = 2, \cdots, n$, let $\theta_j = \arg \xi_j$ and $a_j = e^{-i\theta_j}$ (if $\xi_j = 0$, let $a_j = 0$).

Let

$$f_{\omega,u}(z) = \frac{(a_2 z_2 + \cdots + a_n z_n)(1-r_\omega^2)^{3/2}}{(1-r_\omega z_1)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}}, \tag{2.14}$$

then

$$\frac{\partial f_{\omega,u}(z)}{\partial z_1} = \frac{(\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1) r_\omega (1-r_\omega^2)^{3/2}}{(1-r_\omega z_1)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+2}} (a_2 z_2 + \cdots + a_n z_n)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f_{\omega,u}(z)}{\partial z_k} = \frac{a_k (1-r_\omega^2)^{3/2}}{(1-r_\omega z_1)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}}, \quad k = 2, \cdots, n.$$

Therefore

(2.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla f_{\omega,u}(z)| &= \sqrt{|\frac{\partial f_{\omega,u}(z)}{\partial z_1}|^2 + |\frac{\partial f_{\omega,u}(z)}{\partial z_2}|^2 + \cdots + |\frac{\partial f_{\omega,u}(z)}{\partial z_1}|^2} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{(\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1)^2 r_\omega^2 (1-r_\omega^2)^3 |a_2 z_2 + \cdots + a_n z_n|^2}{|1-r_\omega z_1|^{2(\frac{n+1+q}{p}+2)}} + \frac{(n-1)(1-r_\omega^2)^3}{|1-r_\omega z_1|^{2(\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1)}}} \\
&\le \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)(\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1)^2 r_\omega^2 (1-r_\omega^2)^3 (|z_2|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2)}{|1-r_\omega z_1|^{2(\frac{n+1+q}{p}+2)}} + \frac{(n-1)(1-r_\omega^2)^3}{|1-r_\omega z_1|^{2(\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1)}}} \\
&\le \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)}(1-r_\omega^2)^{3/2}}{|1-r_\omega z_1|^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}} \sqrt{\frac{(\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1)^2 r_\omega^2 (1-|z_1|^2)}{|1-r_\omega z_1|^2} + 1} \\
&\le \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)}(1-r_\omega^2)}{|1-r_\omega z_1|^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}} |1 - r_\omega^2 + r_\omega^2 (\frac{n+1+q}{p} + 1)^2)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le c \frac{1-r_\omega^2}{|1-r_\omega z_1|^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

so, by lemma 2.5, we know that $f_{\omega,u} \in F(p,q,s)$, and $f_{\omega,u}$ satisfies i). Next we will extimate $\|W_{\psi,\phi} f_{\omega,u}\|_\alpha$.

Since $|\phi(\omega)| > \sqrt{2/3}$ and

$$\sqrt{1-|\phi(\omega)|^2}|J_\phi(\omega)u| > |<\phi(\omega), J_\phi(\omega)u>|,$$

we can get

$$\sqrt{3(1-|\phi(\omega)|^2)(|\xi_2|^2 + \cdots + |\xi_n|^2)} > |\xi_1|.$$

Then

$$|\xi_2|^2 + \cdots + |\xi_n|^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}(|\xi_1|^2 + \cdots + |\xi_n|^2).$$

Therefore
(2.16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_{\omega,u}\|_\alpha \geq c(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)|\left|\frac{\nabla f_{\omega,u}(\phi(\omega))J_\phi(\omega)u}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\right| \\
&= c(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\xi_2|+\cdots+|\xi_n|)}{(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}} \\
&\geq c(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{|\xi_2|^2+\cdots+|\xi_n|^2}}{(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}} \\
&\geq c(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{|\xi_1|^2+|\xi_2|^2+\cdots+|\xi_n|^2}}{(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}} \\
&\geq c(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}|J_\phi(\omega)u|}{(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}} \\
&\geq c|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By our discussion above, for every $\omega \in B$ satisfies $|\phi(\omega)| > \sqrt{2/3}$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$, there is a $f_{\omega,u} \in F(p,q,s)$ satisfies $i)$ and $iii)$. And that $f_{\omega,u}$ has the property $iii)$ is clearly, since it is very easy to verify that for every ω and u

$$|f_{\omega,u}(z)| \leq c\frac{1-r_\omega^2}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}}$$

holds.

In general situation, or if $\phi(\omega) \neq |\phi(\omega)|e_1$, we use the unitary transformation U_ω to make $\phi(\omega) = r_\omega e_1 U_\omega$, where $r_\omega = |\phi(\omega)|$. Then $g_{\omega,u} = f_{\omega,u} \circ U_\omega^{-1}$ is the desired function.

In fact, by $\nabla g_{\omega,u}(z) = \nabla(f_{\omega,u} \cdot U_\omega^{-1})(z) = (\nabla f_{\omega,u})(zU_\omega^{-1})(U_\omega^{-1})^T$ and $|zU_\omega^{-1}| = |z|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_B |\nabla g_{\omega,u}(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z) \\
&= \int_B |(\nabla f_{\omega,u})(zU_\omega^{-1})(U_\omega^{-1})^T|^p(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z) \\
&= \int_B |\nabla f_{\omega,u}(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^q g^s(z,a)dv(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equation we use the linear coordinate translation $z = zU_\omega^{-1}$ and the fact that $F(p,q,s)$ is invariant under *möbious* translation. So

$$\|f_{\omega,u}\|_{F(p,q,s)} = \|g_{\omega,u}\|_{F(p,q,s)}.$$

Then we can prove the same result by the same way, we omit the details here. $\square$

Lemma 2.9. *Let* $0 \le p < 1$, *then for every* $f \in \beta^p$ *and* $\forall z, w \in B$ *satisfy* $z = rw$ *for some real number* r,

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \le \frac{2\|f\|_p}{1-p}|z-w|^{1-p} \tag{2.17}$$

Especially when $0 < \frac{n+1+q}{p} < 1$, *there is a constant* $c > 0$ *such that*

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \le c\frac{\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)}}{1-p}|z-w|^{1-p} \tag{2.18}$$

for $\forall f \in F(p,q,s)$ *and* z, w *as above.*

Proof. Denote $F(t) = f(tz + (1-t)w)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) - f(w) &= F(1) - F(0) \\ &= \int_0^1 F'(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(tz+(1-t)w)}{\partial \xi_k}(z_k - w_k)dt \\ &= \int_0^1 < \nabla f(tz + (1-t)w), \overline{z-w} > dt, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(w)| &= |\int_0^1 < \nabla f(tz + (1-t)w), \overline{z-w} > dt| \\ &\le \int_0^1 |\nabla f(tz + (1-t)w)||z-w|dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in \beta^p$, it's easy to show that

$$|\nabla f(z)| \le \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}}{(1-|z|^2)^p} \le \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}}{(1-|z|)^p}, \quad \forall z \in B.$$

Note that if two numbers a, b, satisfy $|a+b| < 1, |a| < 1$ and $|b| < 1$, then $|a+b| + |a| + |b| < 2$ and so $1 - |a+b| > |(1-|a|-|b|)|$. And notice that $z = rw$ for some real number r, thus

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(w)| &\le \int_0^1 \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}|z-w|}{(1-|w+t(z-w)|)^p}dt \\ &\le \int_0^1 \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}|z-w|}{|1-|w|-t|z-w||^p}dt. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 - |w| - |z-w| \ge 0$, then

(2.19)

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(w)| &\le \int_0^1 \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}|z-w|}{(1-|w|-t|z-w|)^p}dt \\ &\le \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}}{1-p}(1-|w|-t|z-w|)^{(1-p)} |_1^0 \\ &= \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}}{1-p}\{(1-|w|)^{1-p} - (1-|w|-|z-w|)^{1-p}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Write $\varphi(x) = x^{1-p} - b^{1-p} - (x-b)^{1-p}, \quad x \in (b, a)$, then

$$\varphi'(x) = (1-p)x^{-p} - (1-p)(x-b)^{-p} = (1-p)(\frac{1}{x^p} - \frac{1}{(x-b)^p}) < 0,$$

since $b < x < a$. Therefore $\varphi(a) < \varphi(b) = 0$, or $a^{1-p} - b^{1-p} - (a-b)^{1-p} < 0$, if $b < a$. If we let $a = 1 - |w|, b = |z - w|$, then

$$(1 - |w|)^{1-p} < |z - w|^{1-p} + (1 - |w| - |z - w|)^{1-p}.$$

Combine (2.19), we have

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_p}{1-p}|z - w|^{1-p}.$$

If $1 - |w| - |z - w| < 0$, then there is a $\xi \in (0, 1)$, s.t.

$$1 - |w| - \xi|z - w| = 0.$$

so

$$\begin{array}{ll} |f(z) - f(w)| & \leq \int_0^\xi \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}|z-w|}{(1-|w|-t|z-w|)^p}dt + \int_\xi^1 \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}|z-w|}{(|w|+t|z-w|-1)^p}dt \\ & \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}}{1-p}\{(1 - |w| - t|z - w|)^{(1-p)} \mid_\xi^0 + (|w| + t|z - w|)^{(1-p)} \mid_\xi^1\} \\ & = \frac{\|f\|_{\beta^p}}{1-p}\{(1 - |w|)^{1-p} + (|w||z - w| - 1)^{1-p}\} \\ & \leq \frac{2}{1-p}\|f\|_{\beta^p}|z - w|^{1-p}. \end{array}$$

Therefore for all $z, w \in B$,

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq \frac{2\|f\|_{\beta^p}}{1-p}|z - w|^{1-p}.$$

The second result of this lemma follows quickly by lemma 2.1. $\square$

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We'll give the sufficiency first. Suppose that (1.5) and (1.6) hold.

(3.1)

$$\begin{array}{l} (1 - |\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla W_{\psi,\phi}f(\omega)| \\ = (1 - |\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)\nabla(f \circ \phi)(\omega) + f(\phi(\omega))\nabla\psi(\omega)| \\ \leq (1 - |\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)\nabla(f \circ \phi)(\omega)| + (1 - |\omega|^2)^\alpha|f(\phi(\omega))\nabla\psi(\omega)| \\ = T_1 + T_2. \end{array}$$

By lemma 2.4 and (1.2),

$$\begin{array}{ll} T_1 & \leq c \sup\limits_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\phi(\omega)||\nabla f((1-|\omega|^2))J_\phi(\omega)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}} \\ & = c \sup\limits_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)|}{(1-|\phi(\omega)|^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}} \frac{\sqrt{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}} \times \\ & \quad \frac{(1-|\phi(\omega)|^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}\|\nabla f(\phi(\omega))\cdot J_\phi(\omega)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}} + \\ & \leq c \sup\limits_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}} |\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ & \quad \|f\|_{\beta^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}}, \end{array}$$

by lemma 2.1 and (1.5), we have

$$T_1 \leq c\|f\|_{\beta^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}}.$$

On the other hand, by lemma 2.2 and (1.6),

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &\leq c(1-|\omega|^2)^{\alpha} G_{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}(\phi(\omega))\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)}|\nabla\psi(\omega)| \\ &\leq c\|f\|_{\beta^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}}, \end{aligned}$$

notice that

$$|W_{\psi,\phi}f(0)| = |\psi(0)||f(\phi(0))| \leq |f(\phi(0))| \leq G_{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}(\phi(0))\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)}, \tag{3.2}$$

therefore, we have

$$\|W_{\psi,\phi}f\|_{\beta^\alpha} \leq c\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)}.$$

Since the constant c is independent of f, $W_{\psi,\phi} : F(p,q,s) \to \beta^\alpha$ is bounded.

One the other hand, suppose $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is bounded, with

$$\|W_{\psi,\phi}f\|_{\beta^\alpha} \leq c\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)},$$

for all $f \in F(p,q,s)$. It's very easy to show that the functions $f_l(z) = z_l,\ \ l = 1,\cdots,n$ and $f(z) = 1$ are in $F(p,q,s)$. Therefore, $W_{\psi,\phi}f_l$ and $W_{\psi,\phi}f$ must in β^α too, in an other word, $\psi\phi_l, l = 1,\cdots,n$ and ψ lies in β^α.

(1) If $|\phi(\omega)|^2 \leq 2/3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c|\psi(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha\frac{|J_\phi(\omega)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}} \\ &\leq c|\psi(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha\{\textstyle\sum_{l=1}^n \frac{|\nabla\phi_l(\omega)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\} \\ &\leq c(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha\{\textstyle\sum_{l=1}^n |\psi(\omega)\nabla\phi_l(\omega)|\} \\ &= c(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha\{\textstyle\sum_{l=1}^n[|\nabla(\psi\cdot\phi_l)(\omega) - \phi_l(\omega)\cdot\nabla\psi(\omega)|]\} \\ &\leq c(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha\{\textstyle\sum_{l=1}^n[|\nabla(\psi\cdot\phi_l)(\omega)| + |\phi_l(\omega)\cdot\nabla\psi(\omega)|]\} \\ &\leq c\textstyle\sum_{l=1}^n \|\psi\phi_l\|_{\beta^\alpha} + \|\psi\|_{\beta^\alpha} \\ &\leq c, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where the last inequality follows by the fact that $\psi\cdot\phi_l(l = 1,\cdots,n)$ and $\psi(z)$ lies in β^α.

(2) If $|\phi(\omega)|^2 > 2/3$, then by lemma 2.8, for every $\omega \in B$ satisfies $|\phi(\omega)|^2 > 2/3$ and every $u \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$, exists a function $f_{\omega,u} \in F(p,q,s)$

such that $\|f_{\omega,u}\|_{F(p,q,s)} < c$, and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_{\omega,u}\|_{\beta^\alpha}\\ &\geq c|\psi(\omega)|\tfrac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\tfrac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

but $\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_{\omega,u}\|_{\beta^\alpha} \leq \|W_{\psi,\phi}\|\|f_{\omega,u}\|_{F(p,q,s)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi(\omega)|\tfrac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\tfrac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ \leq c.\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

By (3.3) and (3.4), we know that (1.5) holds.

If $0 < \frac{n+1+q}{p} < 1$, it's obviously that (1.6) holds, since ψ lies in β^α. By the previous discussion, we can suppose that $\phi(\omega) = r_\omega e_1$.

If $\frac{n+1+q}{p} > 1$, take

$$f_\omega(z) = \tfrac{1-r_\omega^2}{(1-r_\omega z_1)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}}$$

then

$$\frac{\partial f_\omega(z)}{\partial z_1} = \frac{n+1+q}{p}\frac{r_\omega(1-r_\omega^2)}{(1-r_\omega z_1)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f_\omega(z)}{\partial z_k} = 0, \quad k = 2, \cdots, n.$$

so, by lemma 2.5, $f_\omega \in F(p,q,s)$, and

$$\|f_\omega\|_{F(p,q,s)} \leq c,$$

for every ω in B. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)|G_{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}(\phi(\omega)) = \tfrac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)|}{(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}-1}}\\ &= (1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)||f_\omega(\phi(\omega))|\\ &\leq (1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha\{|\nabla(\psi\cdot f_\omega\circ\phi)(\omega)| + |\psi(\omega)\nabla(f_\omega\circ\phi)(\omega)|\}\\ &\leq \|W_{\psi,\phi}f_\omega\|_{\beta^\alpha} + (1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)\nabla(f_\omega\circ\phi)(\omega)|,\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

since $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is bounded, we have

$$\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_\omega\|_{\beta^\alpha} \leq c\|f_\omega\|_{F(p,q,s)} \leq c.$$

And by lemma 2.4, (1.5) and our assumption

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)\nabla(f_\omega\circ\phi)(\omega)|\\
&\leq c\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}}\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)||\nabla f_\omega(\phi(\omega))\circ J_\phi(\omega)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\\
&\leq c\frac{n+1+q}{p}\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}}|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha}{(1-r_\omega^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}}\frac{\sqrt{|<\phi(\omega),J_\phi(\omega)u>|^2}}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\\
&\leq c\sup_{\substack{u\in C^n-\{0\}\\ \omega\in B_n}}|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\leq c.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{n+1+q}{p}=1$ and $s>n$ for every $\omega\in B$,take

$$f_\omega(z)=\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega^2}\Big)^{-1}\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega z_1}\Big)^2$$

then

$$\frac{\partial f_\omega(z)}{\partial z_1}=\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega^2}\Big)^{-1}\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega z_1}\frac{r_\omega}{1-r_\omega z_1},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f_\omega(z)}{\partial z_l}=0,\quad l=2,\cdots,n.$$

Therefore

$$|\nabla f_\omega(z)|=\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega^2}\Big)^{-1}\Big|\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega z_1}\Big|\frac{r_\omega}{|1-r_\omega z_1|},.$$

so, by lemma 2.7, $f_\omega\in F(p,q,s)$, and there is a constant c, s.t.

$$\|f_\omega\|_{F(p,q,s)}\leq c,$$

for every $\omega\in B$. By the same calculation the above discussion,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)|\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega^2}\\
&=(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)||f_\omega(\phi(\omega))|\\
&\leq\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_\omega\|_{\beta^\alpha}+(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)\nabla(f_\omega\circ\phi)(\omega)|\\
&\leq c\|f_\omega\|_{F(p,q,s)}+c\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}}\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)||\nabla f_\omega(\phi(\omega))\circ J_\phi(\omega)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\\
&=c+c\frac{n+1+q}{p}\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}}|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha(1-r_\omega^{k-1})}{(1-r_\omega^2)(1-r_\omega^{k+1})}\frac{\sqrt{|<\phi(\omega),J_\phi(\omega)u>|^2}}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\\
&\leq c+c\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}}|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha}{1-r_\omega^2}\frac{\sqrt{|<\phi(\omega),J_\phi(\omega)u>|^2}}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\\
&\leq c+c\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}}|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha}{1-r_\omega^2}\frac{\sqrt{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\\
&\leq c.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\psi \in \beta^{\alpha}$, so we have

$$(1-|\omega|^2)^{\alpha}|\nabla\psi(\omega)|\log\frac{2}{1-r_{\omega}^2}<\infty$$

or

$$(1-|\omega|^2)^{\alpha}|\nabla\psi(\omega)|G_1(\phi(\omega))<\infty$$

when $s\leq n$, just take

$$f_{\omega}(z)=\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r_{\omega}^2}\Big)^{-\frac{2}{px}}\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r_{\omega}z_1}\Big)^{1+\frac{2}{px}},$$

where x is defined in lemma 2.7, then use lemma 2.7 and by the same proof as the case $s>n$, we can proof the same result.

Now the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

If $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is bounded and (1.8) holds. f_j is a sequence in $F(p,q,s)$ which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of B and

$$\|f_j\|_{F(p,q,s)}\leq 1$$

for every $j\in\mathbb{N}$. $\forall\varepsilon>0$, suppose $\delta=\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\frac{n+1+q}{p}}}$, there is a $k_0\in\mathbb{N}$, for $k>k_0$, and $\forall w\in\overline{B_{1-\delta}}=\{z:\ \ |z|\leq 1-\delta\}$ have

$$|f_k(w)|<\varepsilon.$$

One the other hand, by lemma 2.9, if $w=rz$ for some real number r, $|z-w|<2\delta$ and $1-|w|<\delta$,

$$|f_k(z)-f_k(w)|\leq c\frac{\|f_k\|_{F(p,q,s)}}{1-p}|z-w|^{1-\frac{n+1+q}{p}}\leq c\varepsilon \tag{4.1}$$

holds for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$. For every $w\in B\setminus\overline{B_{1-\delta}}$ or in a other word, $1-\delta<|w|<1$, we can always find a point $z_w\in B_{1-\delta}$ s.t. $w=rz$ for some real number r and $|z-w|<2\delta$, then if $k>k_0$

$$|f_k(w)|\ \ \leq|f_k(w)-f_k(z_w)|+|f_k(z_w)|\leq c\varepsilon+\varepsilon<c\varepsilon.$$

Then, $\forall\varepsilon>0$, there is a $k_0>0$, s.t. for all $z\in B$ and $k>k_0$, $|f_k(z)|<\varepsilon$ holds. So, the sequence $\{f_j\}$ converges to zero uniformly on B.

Since (1.8) holds, therefore, there is a $\delta>0$, such that if $1-|\phi(\omega)|>\delta$

$$\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}}|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha}}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_{\phi}(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_{\phi}(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}<\varepsilon. \tag{4.2}$$

So, when $1-|\phi(\omega)|>\delta$,

(4.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla W_{\psi,\phi}f_k(\omega)|\\
&\leq (1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)f_k(\phi(\omega))|+(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)\nabla(f_k\circ\phi)(\omega)|\\
&\leq \|\psi\|_{\beta^\alpha}|f_k(\phi(\omega))|+c\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^-\{0\}}(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)|\frac{|\nabla f_k(\phi(\omega))\cdot J_\phi(\omega)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\\
&\leq c\varepsilon+c\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}}\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)|}{(1-|\phi(\omega)|^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}}\frac{\sqrt{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}}\times\\
&\frac{(1-|\phi(\omega)|^2)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}\|\nabla f(\phi(\omega))\cdot J_\phi(\omega)u|}{\sqrt{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}}\\
&\leq c\varepsilon+\\
&c\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}}|\psi(\omega)|\frac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\frac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad\times\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)}\\
&\leq c\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\phi(\omega)|\leq\delta$, let $E=\{\omega:|\omega\leq\delta|\}$, then E is compact and $\{\omega\in B:\phi(\omega)\leq\delta\}\subset E$. Therefore

(4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla W_{\psi,\phi}f_k(\omega)|\\
&\leq (1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)f_k(\phi(\omega))|+(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)\nabla(f_k\circ\phi)(\omega)|\\
&\leq (1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)f_k(\phi(\omega))|+(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)\nabla f_k(\phi(\omega))||J_\phi(\omega)|\\
&\leq \psi\|_{\beta^\alpha}|f_k(\phi(\omega))|+\textstyle\sum_{l=1}^n(\|\psi\phi_l\|_{\beta^\alpha}+\|\psi\|_{\beta^\alpha})|\nabla f_k(\phi(\omega))|\\
&< c\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by the fact that the sequence $\{\nabla f_k\}$ converges to zero uniformity on E.

Therefore $(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla W_{\psi,\phi}f_k(\omega)|$ converges to zero uniformly on B.

Notice that $\{f_k(0)\}$ converges to zero, and so we have

$$\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_k\|_{\beta^\alpha}\to 0$$

as $|\phi(\omega)|\to 0$.

On the other hand, if $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is compact, then clearly that $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is bounded and by lemma 2.8 and lemma 2.3, it is easy to know that (1.8) holds. And so we are done.

5. The proof of theorem 1.3

Just as the proof of theorem 2, if $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is bounded and (1.9)(1.10) hold, f_j is a sequence in $F(p,q,s)$ which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of B and $\|f_j\|_{F(p,q,s)}\leq 1$ for every $j\in\mathbb{N}$, then by the same discussion as lemma 2.8, we have

(5.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla W_{\psi,\phi}f_j(\omega)|\\
&=(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\psi(\omega)\nabla(f_j\circ\phi)(\omega)+f_j(\phi(\omega))\nabla\psi(\omega)|\\
&\le c\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}}|\psi(\omega)|\tfrac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\tfrac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\times\\
&\times\|f_j\|_{F(p,q,s)}+c(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha G_{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}(\phi(\omega))|\nabla\psi(\omega)|\|f_j\|_{F(p,q,s)}\\
&\le c\sup_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}}|\psi(\omega)|\tfrac{(1-|w|^2)^\alpha}{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}\left\{\tfrac{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}{(1-|w|^2)|u|^2+|<w,u>|^2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\\
&c(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha\nabla\psi(\omega)|G_{\frac{n+1+q}{p}}(\phi(\omega))|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\forall\varepsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$, for $\forall j\in\mathbb{N}$ and $1-|\phi(\omega)|<\delta$,

$$(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla W_{\psi,\phi}f_j(\omega)|\le c\varepsilon \tag{5.2}$$

follows.

And when $1-|\phi(\omega)|\le\delta$, by the same discussion as the proof of Theorem 2, we know that there is a $k_0\in\mathbb{N}$, s.t. when $j>k_0$ have

$$(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla W_{\psi,\phi}f_j(\omega)|\le c\varepsilon. \tag{5.3}$$

Combine (5.2) and (5.3), and notice that $\{f_k(0)\}$ converges to zero, we have

$$\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_k\|_{\beta^\alpha}\to 0,$$

as $|\phi(\omega)|\to 1$, therefore by lemma 3, $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is compact.

If $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is compact, then $W_{\psi,\phi}$ is bounded, and by lemma 2.8 and lemma 2.3, it's clearly that (1.9) hold.

If $\frac{n+1+q}{p}=1$, $s>n$, and $|r_\omega|>\frac{2}{3}$, let

$$f_\omega=\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega^2}\Big)^{-1}\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega z_1}\Big)^2, \tag{5.4}$$

by the proof of theorem 1, $f_\omega\in F(p,q,s)$, and $\exists C>0$, such that $\|f_\omega\|_{F(p,q,s)}<C$. And it is clearly that f_ω converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of B by the same discussion as the proof of theorem 1.1 and use lemma 2.1,we have

(5.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)||f_\omega(\phi(\omega))|\\
&=(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)|\log\tfrac{1}{1-r_\omega^2}\\
&\le c\|W_{\psi,\phi}f_\omega\|_{\beta^\alpha}\\
&+c\sup\nolimits_{u\in\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\}}|\psi(\omega)|\tfrac{(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha}{1-r_\omega^2}\tfrac{\sqrt{(1-|\phi(w)|^2)|J_\phi(w)u|^2+|<\phi(w),J_\phi(w)u>|^2}}{\sqrt{(1-|\omega|^2)|u|^2+|<\omega,u>|^2}},
\end{aligned}$$

but by lemma 2.3 and (1.9), we get

$$(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)|\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega^2}\to 0,$$

as $|\phi(\omega)|\to 1$. Notice that (1.6) holds, so

$$(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)|\to 0,\quad |\phi(\omega)|\to 1.$$

And then $(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha|\nabla\psi(\omega)|\log\frac{2}{1-r_\omega^2}\to 0$ ($|\phi(\omega)|\to 1$.)

When $\frac{n+1+q}{p}=1, s<n$, just take

$$f_\omega(z)=\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega^2}\Big)^{-\frac{2}{px}}\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r_\omega z_1}\Big)^{1+\frac{2}{px}},$$

where x is the one used in lemma2.6. The same discussion as the case $s>n$ gives the same result,and we omit it here.

If $\frac{n+1+q}{p}>1$, just let

$$f_\omega=\frac{1-r_\omega^2}{(1-r_\omega z_1)^{\frac{n+1+q}{p}+1}}$$

and use the same method as the situation of $\frac{n+1+q}{p}<1$, we can also prove that (1.10) holds. So, the proof of theorem 1.3 is completed.

References

[Alf] Alfonso Montes-Rodriguez, Weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, J.London Math.Soc.(2)61(2000):872-884.

[Ang] J.P.D'Angelo, Several complex variables and geometry of real hypersurfaces, CRC Press, 1993.

[CiW] J. A. Cima/W. R. Wogen. *Unbounded composition operators on* $H^2(B_2)$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **99** (1987), no. 3, 477-483.

[CoHer] M.D.Contreras and A.G.Hernandez-Diaz, Weighted composition operators in weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, J.Austral.Math.Soc(Series A), 69(2000):41-46.

[CoJaMacP] C. C. Cowen/F. Jafari/B. D. MacCluer/A. D. Porter, editors. *Studies in Composition Operators*, Contemporary Mathematics, **213**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998.

[CoMac] C. C. Cowen/B. D. MacCluer. *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions.* CRC Press, Boca Roton, 1995.

[CSZ] D.D.Clahane, S.Stević and Z.H.Zhou, Composition Operators between generalized Bloch spaces of the polydisk. (to appear)

[JiaHe] Jiang L J, He Y Z. Composition operators form β^α to $F(p,q,s)$. Acta Math Scientia, 2003, 23B(2):252-260.

[Li] J. E. Littlewood. *On inequalities in the theory of functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **23** (1925), 481-519.

[Mad] K. Madigan. *Composition operators on analytic Lipschitz spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **119** (1993), 465-473.

[MadMat] K. Madigan and A. Matheson. *Compact composition operators on the Bloch space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 2679-2687.

[Rud] W. Rudin. *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of* $\mathbb{C}^n$. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

[Rus] B. Russo. *Holomorphic composition operators in several complex variables*, Contemp. Math., **213**, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence 1998.

[Sha2] J. H. Shapiro. *Compact composition operators on spaces of boundary-regular holomorphic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), 49-57.

[Sha3] J. H. Shapiro. *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory.* Springer Verlag, New York, 1993.

[ShiLuo] J.H.Shi and L.Luo, Composition operators on the Bloch space of several complex variables, Acta Math.Sinica, English Series, 16(2000):85-98.

[Tim1] R.Timoney, Bloch Function in several Complex Variables, I, Bull.London.Math.Soc. 12(1980), 241-267.

[Tim2] R.Timoney, Bloch Function in Several Complex Variables, II, J.Reine Angew.Math., 319(1980):1-22.

[YaOuy] Yang W S, Ouyang C H. Exact location of $\alpha - Bloch$ spaces in L_a^p and H^p of a complex unit ball. Rocky Mountain J Math, 2000, 30:1151-1169.

[Zha] Zhang Xuejun, Composition operators form spaces $F(p, q, s)$ to Bloch type spaces on the unit ball. (to appear)

[Zhao] Ruhan Zhao, On a general family of function spaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Dissertationes, 105, 1996, 56 pp.

[Zho] Z.H.Zhou, Composition operators on the Lipschitz spaces in polydisk. Science in China (Series A), 46(2003):33-38.

[ZhShi1] Z.H.Zhou and J.H.Shi, Compact composition operators on the Bloch space in polydisk, Science in China (Series A), 44(2001):286-291.

[ZhShi2] Z.H.Zhou and J.H.Shi, Compactness of composition operators on the Bloch space in classical bounded symmetric domains, The Michigan Mathematical Journal, 50(2002): 381-405.

[Zhu1] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **136**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York-Basel, 1990.

[Zhu2] K. Zhu, Spaces of Holomorphic functions in the Unit Ball, Springer-Verlag (GTM 226), 2004.

[ZhuOuy] Zhuo W X, Ouyang C H. $M\ddot{o}bius$ invariant gradient and little $\alpha - Bloch$ functions, Acta Math Scientia, 2002,

Department of Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin 300072
P.R. China.
E-mail address: `zehuazhou2003@yahoo.com.cn`

Department of Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin 300072
P.R. China.
E-mail address: `fjcry@yahoo.com.cn`